# Hillar-Nie 2006

Jasper Ty

### What is this?

This are notes I took while reading "An elementary and constructive solution to Hilbert's 17th Problem for matrices" by Christopher J. Hillar and Jiawang Nie [HN06].

#### Contents

I	Introduction	2
2	Review of real algebra	3
3	Proof of the theorem	5

#### Notation

Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  be a collection of indeterminates, and let  $F[\mathbf{x}]$  and  $F(\mathbf{x})$  denote the polynomial ring and the ring of rational functions in the field *F* respectively.

For any commutative ring  $\tilde{R}$ , let  $\Sigma R^2$  denote the sums of squares of R, i.e

$$\Sigma R^2 \coloneqq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k r_i^2 : r_1, \dots, r_k \in R \right\}.$$

Similarly, let  $R^2$  denote the **squares** of R.

Let  $Mat_d(R)$  denote the set of  $d \times d$  matrices in the ring R. And, let  $Sym_d(R)$  denote the subset of  $Mat_d(R)$  consisting of symmetric matrices.

If A is a matrix and  $J \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ , let A[J] denote the principal submatrix with indices picked out by J.

#### Introduction I

We seek to give a proof for the main result in [HNo6].

**Theorem 1** (Procesi-Schacher, Gondard-Ribenboim). Let  $A \in \text{Sym}_{d}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}])$ . Let  $A(\mathbf{x}_0)$  denote A with all entries evaluated at  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $A(\mathbf{x}_0) \in \text{Sym}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is positive semidefinite for all choices of  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , then  $A \in \Sigma[\text{Sym}_d(\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{x}))]^2$ 

This generalizes Artin's celebrated, classical result on nonnegative polynomials with real coefficients.

**Theorem 2** (Artin's solution to Hilbert's 17th Problem). Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $f(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$ . (ii)  $f \in \Sigma \mathbb{R}(\mathbf{x})^2$ .

We will prove the more general statement, which proves Theorem I with the help of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** Let *F* be a real field, and let  $A \in \text{Sym}_d(F)$  such that det  $A[J] \in \Sigma F^2$  for all  $J \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ . Then  $A \in \Sigma[\text{Sym}_d(F)]^2$ .

*Proof that Theorem 3 implies Theorem 1.* Let  $A \in \text{Sym}_{d}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}])$ .

We will first show that all principal minors of A are in fact non-negative polynomials. We note that for all matrices  $H \in Mat_d(\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}])$ ,  $(\det H)(\mathbf{x}_0) = \det (H(\mathbf{x}_0))$  and  $H[J](\mathbf{x}_0) = H(\mathbf{x}_0)[J]$  for all  $J \subseteq [n]$ . In other words, taking determinants and taking submatrices commutes with evaluation. So, if  $J \subseteq [n]$ ,

$$(\det A[J])(\mathbf{x}_0) = \det (A[J](\mathbf{x}_0)) = \det (A(\mathbf{x}_0)[J]) \ge 0,$$

for all  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  supposing that  $A(\mathbf{x}_0)$  is positive semidefinite for all  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then we may apply Theorem 2 to det  $A[I] \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ , to conclude that det  $A[I] \in \Sigma \mathbb{R}(\mathbf{x})^2$ .

Now, take A to live in  $Sym_d(\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{x}))$ , where we are simply extending the inclusion of  $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$  into  $\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{x})$ , then we can apply Theorem 3, with  $F = \mathbb{R}(\mathbf{x})$ , to say that  $A \in$  $\Sigma [\operatorname{Sym}_d(\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{x}))]^2.$ 

### 2 Review of real algebra

We will recover basic results in the theory of real symmetric matrices in the more general context of real closed fields.

First, a small digression about ordering. The data involving the order in an ordered field can be encoded as a set that names all the positive elements.

**Definition 4.** An ordering of a field F is a set of elements  $P \subseteq F$  such that  $P + P \subseteq P, P \cdot P \subseteq P, F^2 \subseteq P, -1 \notin P$ , and  $P \cup -P = F$ .

If one has an ordered field F, then one has an ordering P by considering all the elements  $p \in F$  such that  $p \ge 0$ . Conversely, if one has a field and an ordering P and a field F, one can make F an ordered field by putting  $p \ge 0$  for all  $p \in P$ .

Now, we discuss real closed fields.

**Definition 5.** The **first order language of ordered fields** OrdField consists of well-formed sentences involving the usual logical symbols and connectives, as well as the non-logical symbols +,  $\cdot$ , 0, 1,  $^{-1}$ ,  $\leq$ .

A real closed field is an ordered field for which a sentence  $\psi$  in OrdField is true if and only if it is true in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

This is not the usual definition of a real closed field. We will discuss a few important, equivalent definitions.

**Theorem 6** (Artin-Schreier 1926). Let F be a field. The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $-1 \notin \Sigma F^2$ , and  $-1 \in \Sigma G^2$  for any nontrivial algebraic extension G of F.
- (ii)  $F^2$  is an ordering of F, and every odd degree polynomial with coefficients in F has a root in F.
- (iii)  $F \neq F[\sqrt{-1}]$ , and  $F[\sqrt{-1}]$  is algebraically closed.

*Proof.* See Theorem 1.2.9 in [N]

**Theorem 7** (Tarski 19??). Let F be a field. The following are equivalent:

- (i) F is real closed.
- (ii) F satisfies any of the statements in Theorem 6.

*Proof.* We will define RCF to be the **theory of real closed fields**, to be the field axioms adjoined with (the correct encoding of) statement (ii) in Theorem 6.

One can prove quantifier elimination is possible in RCF, and moreover algorithmically possible, hence RCF is a decidable theory. Moreover, one can show that RCF can prove or disprove any quantifier free statement in OrdField, hence RCF is complete. Lastly,  $\mathbb{R} \models \mathsf{RCF}$ , so by basic model theory, if  $R \models \mathsf{RCF}$ , R and  $\mathbb{R}$  are elementarily equivalent, i.e, they agree on all sentences in OrdField. П

With the logic out of the way, we can begin to glean some properties of real closed fields.

**Proposition 8** (The ordering on RCFs). In a real closed field R, the set  $R^2$  identifies all the positive elements.

*Proof.* Consider the OrdField sentences

$$\forall y(y^2 \ge 0)$$

and

$$\forall x \Big( x \ge 0 \iff \exists y (x = y^2) \Big),$$

which are evidently true in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 9** (Characterizations of PSD matrices over an RCF). Let R be a realclosed field and let  $A \in \text{Sym}_{d}(R)$ . The following are equivalent

- (i) All the principal minors of *A* are nonnegative.
  (ii) **x**<sup>T</sup> A**x** ≥ 0 for all **x** ∈ R<sup>d</sup>.
- (iii) A is diagonalizable with nonnegative eigenvalues.

*Proof.* If we fix d, we may completely encode the statement (i)  $\implies$  (ii) in OrdField, hence its truth in *R* coincides with its truth in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

As an example, put d = 2. Then our statement in the first order language of ordered fields is

$$\forall a, b, c, d \\ \left[ \underbrace{\left(a \ge 0 \land d \ge 0 \land ad - bc \ge 0\right)}_{\text{(ac)}} \implies \underbrace{\forall x, y \left(ax^2 + (b + c)xy + dy^2 \ge 0\right)}_{\text{(ac)}} \right].$$

nonnegative principal minors

positive-semidefiniteness

JASPER TY

Similarly, we may do (ii)  $\implies$  (iii).

The statement " $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  is diagonalizable with nonnegative eigenvalues", in the d = 2 case, is <sup>1</sup>

$$\exists e, f, g, h$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} eh - fg = 1 \land e^{2}b + efd - fea + f^{2}c = 0 \land g^{2}b + ghd - hga + h^{2}c = 0 \land hea + hfc - geb - gfd \ge 0 \land egb + ehd - fga - fhc \ge 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The point is, we can encode the whole theorem for a fixed d entirely as a sentence in OrdField. Then, we use the fact that the theorem is true for real symmetric matrices.

Next, we discuss weaker objects than real closed fields, which we will need.

**Definition 10.** A real field is a field F in which  $-1 \notin \Sigma F^2$ .

**Proposition II.** All real fields *F* have at least one ordering  $\leq$  such that  $(F, \leq)$  is an ordered field. Moreover, when equipped with such an order, there exists an ordered field *R* such that *R* is real closed, *R* is algebraic extension of *K*, and the order on *R* extends the order on *F*. We call *R* a **real closure** of *F*.

*Proof.* Theorem 1.4.2 in [N].

#### 3 Proof of the theorem

We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 12.** Let *F* be a real field and suppose *A* satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3;  $A \in \text{Sym}_d(F)$  such that det  $A[J] \in \Sigma F^2$  for all  $J \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ .

Then the minimal polynomial  $m(t) \in F[t]$  of A is of the form:

$$m(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} a_i t^i = t^k - a_{k-1} t^{k-1} + \dots + (-1)^k a_0.$$

where  $a_i \in \Sigma F^2$  for all *i*. Moreover,  $a_1 \neq 0$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Trust me

*Proof.* This proof happens fairly quickly in [HNo6]. We will spend some more detail on this.

## Step 1 Characterize sums of squares in terms of nonnegativity in real closures

Sums of squares play a special role in real fields K. We have that

$$\Sigma K^{2} = \bigcap_{\substack{P \text{ is an} \\ \text{ordering of } K}} P.$$
 ([N] Theorem 1.1.16)

One can interpret this as saying that they are the elements that will *always* be positive regardless of the order one realizes on K. So, if  $x \in \Sigma F^2$ , that means that  $x \ge 0$  in *any* ordering of F. In fact, if  $x \ge 0$  in any real closure, then this means that  $x \in \Sigma K^2$ , as  $x \ge 0$  in a real closure R means that  $x \in P$  in some ordering P of F which R extends. We conclude:

If  $x \ge 0$  in all real closures of F, then  $x \in \Sigma F^2$ , and conversely.

Then the path ahead is clear: we want to show that  $a_i \ge 0$  in all real closures R of F.

#### **Step 2** Show that A has nonnegative eigenvalues in every real closure

If R is a real closure of F, all the principal minors det A[J] of A are nonnegative in R, as, by the hypothesis, they are sums of squares in F, hence they are sums of squares in R, and the nonnegative elements of R are precisely the squares (Theorem 8), so det A[J] is a sum of nonnegative elements of R.

Then, we have the following:

In any real closure of F, all the principal minors of A are nonnegative.

Now, combined with 9, this statement reads

In any real closure of F, A is diagonalizable with nonnegative eigenvalues.

#### Step 3 Prove the LEMMA

Each  $a_i$  is a sum of products of eigenvalues of A. (Specifically, it is an elementary symmetric polynomial in the distinct eigenvalues of A, since A is diagonalizable).

Then  $a_i$  is nonnegative in every real closure R of A, as we have shown that its eigenvalues in R are nonnegative. But, as we have noted, this means that  $a_i$  is a sum of squares in F! This completes the proof of the first statement.

Finally, we complete the theorem by proving the second statement.

Since A is diagonalizable, m(t) has no repeated roots, hence 0 can only appear at most once. This means that there is exactly 1 term in  $a_1$ , the k - 1th elementary symmetric polynomial in the roots of m(t), that avoids this zero and is hence positive, hence  $a_1 \neq 0$ .

There is a formula in [H&J] that expresses the characteristic polynomial directly in terms of principal minors, and I'm sure it simplifies this proof, but I haven't had the time to try it.

We are now ready to prove the main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem* 3. Let *F* be a real field and let  $A \in \text{Sym}_d(F)$  be a matrix whose principal minors are all nonnegative.

Let  $m(t) = t^k - a_{k-1}t^{k-1} + \dots + (-1)^k a_0$  be the minimal polynomial of A.

Then, by Cayley-Hamilton, m(A) = 0, so by splitting the even and odd degree terms,

$$(A^{k-1} + a_{k-2}A^{k-3} + \dots + a_1I)A = a_{m-1}A^{k-1} + a_{m-3}A^{m-3} + \dots + a_0I$$

Now put  $B = A^{k-1} + a_{k-2}A^{k-3} + \dots + a_1I \in \text{Sym}_d(F)$ . *B* is invertible, since  $a_1 = 0$ , hence it does not have 0 as an eigenvalue. Moreover, *B*'s inverse is also symmetric, i.e.  $B^{-1} \in \text{Sym}_d(F)$ .

Then,  $\ddot{B^{-1}} = B \cdot B^{-2} = B \cdot (B^{-1})^2$ , so

$$A = B\left(a_{k-1}B^{-2}A^{k-1} + a_{k-3}B^{-2}A^{k-3} + \dots + a_0B^{-2}\right).$$

Everything "in sight" is a sum of squares.

- All coefficients  $a_i \in F$  appearing are sums of squares;  $a_i \in \Sigma F^2$ .
- Each  $A^{k-2l}$  term is a square, as k is odd;  $A^{k-2l} \in \left[\operatorname{Sym}_{d}(F)\right]^{2}$ .
- *B* itself is a sum of squares, as  $B = A^{k-1} + a_{k-2}A^{k-3} + \dots + a_1I$ , and *k* is odd;  $B \in \Sigma[\operatorname{Sym}_d(F)]^2$
- And finally,  $B^{-2} = (B^{-1})^2 \in [\text{Sym}_d(F)]^2$ .

So, in all,  $A \in \Sigma[\text{Sym}_d(F)]^2$ . The *k* even case is similarly argued.  $\Box$ 

## References

- [H&J] Roger Horn, Charles Johnson, Matrix Analysis.
- [N] Tim Netzer, Real Algebra and Geometry, Universität Innsbruck, https://www.uibk.ac.at/mathematik/algebra/media/teaching/ragen.pdf.
- [S] Markus Schweighofer, *Real Algebraic Geometry, Positivity and Convexity*, Universität Konstanz,

https://www.uibk.ac.at/mathematik/algebra/media/teaching/ragen.pdf.

[HN06] Christopher Hillar and Jiewang Nie, *An elementary and constructive solution to Hilbert's 17th Problem for Matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 73-76.

https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-07-09068-5.

Also available as arXiv:math/0610388v3.