

exp is the inverse of log

Jasper Ty

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Proof	2

I Introduction

Definition 1.1. The power series exp and log are defined

$$\exp(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad (1)$$

$$\log(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n}. \quad (2)$$

We wish to show the following:

Theorem 1.2.

$$(\exp \circ \log)(x) = (\log \circ \exp)(x) = x. \quad (3)$$

You can show this using Lagrange inversion. However, we will do this with our bare hands.

Here is a small amount of some “boilerplate” we’ll need:

Definition 1.3. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 0$, and let $n = a_1 + \dots + a_k$. The corresponding *multinomial coefficient* for this tuple is

$$\binom{n}{a_1, \dots, a_k} := \frac{n!}{a_1! \cdots a_k!}.$$

Proposition 1.4. The multinomial coefficient

$$\binom{n}{a_1, \dots, a_k} := \frac{n!}{a_1! \cdots a_k!}$$

counts the number of partitions of the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$ into parts A_1, \dots, A_k , such that $|A_1| = a_1, \dots, |A_k| = a_k$.

Proposition 1.5 (“Power rule”).

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m x^m \right)^n = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 1 \\ a_1 + \dots + a_n = m}} c_{a_1} \cdots c_{a_n} \right] x^m \quad (4)$$

2 Proof

We first grind out a raw expression for the coefficients of $\log \circ \exp$, *as an exponential generating function*, then demonstrate that each coefficient is a signed sum of *cyclically ordered set partitions*— we then demonstrate cancellation.

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{\log}_{\text{expand}} \left(\exp(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\overbrace{(\exp(x) - 1)}^{\text{expand}}}{n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Use "power rule" (4)} \\
& = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\overbrace{\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m!} \right)^n}^{\text{"power rule" (4)}}}{n} \\
& = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \underbrace{\frac{\left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 1 \\ a_1 + \dots + a_n = m}} \frac{1}{a_1! \dots a_n!} x^m \right]}{n}}_{\text{break up fraction}} \\
& = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}}_{\text{move into innermost sum}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 1 \\ a_1 + \dots + a_n = m}} \frac{1}{a_1! \dots a_n!} x^m \\
& = \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}}_{\text{interchange sums}} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 1 \\ a_1 + \dots + a_n = m}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \frac{1}{a_1! \dots a_n!} x^m \\
& = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 1 \\ a_1 + \dots + a_n = m}}}_{\text{this quantifies over all strong compositions of } m} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \frac{1}{a_1! \dots a_n!} x^m \\
& = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \text{ is a} \\ \text{strong composition of } m}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \underbrace{\frac{1}{a_1! \dots a_n!} x^m}_{\text{multiply by } 1=m!/m!} \\
& = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \text{ is a} \\ \text{strong composition of } m}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \underbrace{\frac{m!}{a_1! \dots a_n!} \frac{x^m}{m!}}_{= \binom{m}{a_1, \dots, a_n}} \\
& = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \text{ is a} \\ \text{strong composition of } m}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \underbrace{\binom{m}{a_1, \dots, a_n}}_{\text{convert multiplicity into summation}} \frac{x^m}{m!}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \text{ is a} \\ \text{strong composition of } m}} \sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_n \text{ is a partition} \\ \text{of } \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |A_1| = a_1, \dots, |A_n| = a_n}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \frac{x^m}{m!}}_{\text{this quantifies over all ordered set partitions of } \{1, \dots, m\}} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_n \text{ is a partition} \\ \text{of } \{1, \dots, m\}}} \underbrace{\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}}_{\text{this adds a cyclic symmetry, which we will now prove}} \frac{x^m}{m!} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_n \text{ is a partition} \\ \text{of } \{1, \dots, m\}}} \frac{(n-1)!}{n!} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^m}{m!}}_{\text{break apart parts and order}} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{A} \text{ is an unordered} \\ \text{partition of } \{1, \dots, m\}}} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_n \text{ is an ordering} \\ \text{of } \mathbf{A}'\text{'s parts}}} \frac{(n-1)!}{n!} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^m}{m!}}_{\text{there are } n! \text{ such orders}} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{A} \text{ is an unordered} \\ \text{partition of } \{1, \dots, m\}}} n! \frac{(n-1)!}{n!} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^m}{m!} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{A} \text{ is an unordered} \\ \text{partition of } \{1, \dots, m\}}} \underbrace{(n-1)!}_{\text{convert multiplicity into sum— this is the \# of cyclic orders of length } n} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^m}{m!} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{A} \text{ is an unordered} \\ \text{partition of } \{1, \dots, m\}}} \sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_n \text{ is a cyclic} \\ \text{ordering of } \mathbf{A}'\text{'s parts}}} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^m}{m!} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left[\sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_n \text{ is a cyclically ordered} \\ \text{partition of } \{1, \dots, m\}}} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^m}{m!} \right]}_{\text{voilà!}}
\end{aligned}$$

Now for this series to be equal to x , it must be that

$$\left[\sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_n \text{ is a cyclically ordered} \\ \text{partition of } \{1, \dots, m\}}} (-1)^{n+1} \right] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will prove this using a **sign-reversing involution**— a function which records exactly how terms cancel out in a sum.

Cancellation principle. Let X be a finite set and let $\text{sign} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a bijection such that $\text{sign } f(x) = -\text{sign } x$ for all $x \in X$, then $\sum_{x \in X} \text{sign } x = 0$.

Now, if we define $\text{sign } \mathbf{A} = (-1)^{\text{len } \mathbf{A} + 1}$, we can prove that

$$\left[\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{A} \text{ is a cyclically ordered} \\ \text{partition of } \{1, \dots, m\}}} \text{sign}(\mathbf{A}) \right] = 0$$

for all $m > 1$ if we can find such a function.

Let f be the function defined by

$$f(\mathbf{A}) = \begin{cases} A_{\underline{k}} \cup A_{\underline{k+1}}, \dots, A_{\underline{n+k}} & \text{if } A_{\underline{k}} = \{1\} \\ \{1\}, A_{\underline{k}} \setminus \{1\}, \dots, A_{\underline{n+k}} & \text{if } A_{\underline{k}} \neq \{1\} \end{cases}$$

where $A_{\underline{k}}$ is the part of \mathbf{A} containing 1.

Then, $\text{sign } f(\mathbf{A}) = -\text{sign } \mathbf{A}$.

- In the first case, we are merging two parts, $A_{\underline{k}}$ and $A_{\underline{k+1}}$, decreasing the number of parts by one.
- In the second case, we are splitting apart $A_{\underline{k}}$ into two parts— one that contains $\{1\}$ and one that doesn't.

Moreover f is an involution, therefore it is a bijection.

- If $A_{\underline{k}} = \{1\}$, then on applying f it will be merged with the next nonempty part. Upon applying f again, it will be split apart from this part, reversing what happened.

- If $A_k \neq \{1\}$, then on applying f we get rid of all elements that aren't 1 and put them in a new part. Upon applying f again, these elements will be merged into our original part again, reversing what happened.

Moreover, this map is actually only defined whenever $m > 1$, as in the $m = 1$ case, it's impossible to do any splitting or merging of parts.

This proves that

$$\left[\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{A} \text{ is a cyclically ordered} \\ \text{partition of } \{1, \dots, m\}}} \text{sign}(\mathbf{A}) \right] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$(\log \circ \exp)(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_m \text{ is a cyclically ordered} \\ \text{partition of } \{1, \dots, m\}}} (-1)^{n+1} \right] \frac{x^m}{m!} = x,$$

which completes the proof. \square